IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LORENZO JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

23-cv-125-wmc

BRIAN FOSTER, SGT. ROBERT DREHMEL, CO WYNDRICK, NURSE VICK, MARY ANN MORE, ROBERT WEINMAN, JEAN PIERRE, and NP AMY DENNEAU,

Defendants.

Pro se plaintiff Lorenzo Johnson alleges that he received inadequate medical care for his jaw condition and associated pain. (Dkt. #1.) He is proceeding on Eighth Amendment medical care and state-law medical negligence claims against several defendants, all of whom are current or former employees of Waupun Correctional Institutional or Fox Lake Correctional Institution. (Dkt. #6.)¹

Defendants filed a motion to transfer venue. (Dkt. #10.) Defendants contend that venue is proper only in the Eastern District of Wisconsin because all the defendants reside in the Eastern District and the underlying events occurred there. (*Id.* at 2.) Johnson does not concede that transfer is required, but he has not clearly disputed that venue is proper only in the Eastern District or otherwise meaningfully objected to transfer. (*See* dkt. #19.)

Indeed, venue is proper only in the Eastern District. Defendants declare, and Johnson has not disputed, that they all reside in the Eastern District. (*See* dkts. #11-16);

¹ The screening order incorrectly states on its first page that the court would allow Johnson to proceed against Dr. Wieland; it did not. (*See* dkt. #6 at 1-2, 7-9.)

see also 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a). And practically all the events and omissions on which Johnson

bases his claims occurred at Waupun or Fox Lake, both of which are in the Eastern District

for venue purposes. (See dkt. #6 at 2-8); see also 28 U.S.C. § 130(a). A few relevant events

may have occurred at University Hospital in Madison, but they are hardly a "substantial

part of the events or omissions" underlying Johnson's claims. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

Defendants have shown that venue is proper only in the Eastern District.

When venue is improper, courts may dismiss or, "if it be in the interest of justice,"

transfer the case to the proper venue. 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Because the court allowed

Johnson to proceed in part on his complaint, transferring this case to the Eastern District

serves justice.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendants' motion to transfer this case to the Eastern

District of Wisconsin (dkt. #10) is GRANTED and this case is TRANSFERRED to that

district.

Entered this 20th day of September, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY

District Judge

2